

Technical University of Denmark



Integrating exposure into chemical alternatives assessment using a qualitative approach

Greggs, Bill; Arnold, Scott; Burns, T. E.; Egeghy, Peter; Fantke, Peter; Gaborek, Bonnie; Heine, Lauren; Jolliet, Olivier; Muir, Derek; Rinkevich, Joseph; Sunger, Neha; Tanir, Jennifer Young ; Whittaker, Margaret

Published in:

Abstract book - SETAC North America 37th Annual Meeting/7th World Congress

Publication date:

2016

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Greggs, B., Arnold, S., Burns, T. E., Egeghy, P., Fantke, P., Gaborek, B., ... Whittaker, M. (2016). Integrating exposure into chemical alternatives assessment using a qualitative approach. In Abstract book - SETAC North America 37th Annual Meeting/7th World Congress

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

111 Integrating Exposure into Chemical Alternatives Assessment Using a Qualitative Approach

B. Greggs, Soleil Consulting, LLC; S. Arnold, The Dow Chemical Company; T.J. Burns, Novozymes; P. Egeghy, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency / Office of Research and Development; P. Fantke, Technical University of Denmark / Quantitative Sustainability Assessment Division; B. Gaborek, DuPont; L.G. Heine, Lauren Heine Group LLC; O. Jolliet, University of Michigan; C. Lee, ExxonMobil / Dept of Tox Enviro Chemistry; D.C. Muir, Environment Canada / Aquatic Contaminants Research Division; K. Plotzke, Dow Corning / Health Environmental Sciences; J.P. Rinkevich, SciVera LLC; N. Sunger, West Chester University; J. Tanir, HESI; M. Whittaker, ToxServices LLC. Most alternatives assessments (AA) published to date are largely hazard-based rankings, and as such may not represent a fully informed consideration of the advantages and disadvantages of possible alternatives. With an assessment goal of identifying an alternative chemical that is more sustainable, other attributes beyond hazard are also important, including exposure, risk, life-cycle impacts, performance, cost, and social responsibility. Building on the 2014 recommendations by the U.S. National Academy of Sciences to improve AA decisions by including comparative exposure assessment, the HESI Sustainable Chemical Alternatives Technical Committee, which consists of scientists from academia, industry, government, and NGOs, has developed a qualitative comparative exposure approach. Conducting such a comparison can screen for alternatives that are expected to have a higher human or environmental exposure potential, which could trigger a higher-tiered, more quantitative exposure assessment on the alternatives being considered, minimizing the likelihood of regrettable substitution. This talk will demonstrate an approach for including chemical- and product-related exposure information in a qualitative AA comparison. Starting from existing hazard AAs, a series of three chemical-product application scenarios were examined to test the concept, to understand the effort required, and to determine the value of exposure data in AA decision-making. The group has developed a classification approach for ingredient and product parameters to support comparisons between alternatives as well as methodology to address exposure parameter relevance and data quality. The ingredient parameters include a range of physicochemical properties that can impact routes and magnitude of exposure, while the product parameters include aspects such as exposure pathways, use pattern, frequency/duration of use, chemical concentration in product, and use volume, accessibility, and disposal. Key learnings, challenges, and opportunities for further work will also be presented. The views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.